App. F. IX-4

1927.

File No. 341-27

Previous References
Mu3276-26-3 7 & 12 (Mysore)
" 9 18 1-4
" 395-14-1-3

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for July 1928.

SUBJECT

Issue of a Rahadari on behalf of Sri Parakalaswami Mutt at Mysore.

DR 203 dated 25.7.28 To the Mys Govt.

There is no necessity to formally define the honours & insignias to which the mutt is entitled

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 192 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai

NOTES.

File No. 341/1927

FILE HEADING.

Biadus to Sri Parakala Swamy mutt at Mysore.

FROM

The Muzrai Comr.

Letter No. 1335 Dated 18. 5. 1928 Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.
1570	1 Rl.	—	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that in view of the High Position occupied by the Swamigalu of the said mutha, and the fact that he is the Guru of His Highness the Maharaja and the Royal family, a

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Rahadari in suitable terms mentioning all the Biadus claimed on behalf of the mutt with the exception of "Pancha Kalasada Habaru chatri" may be issued. The present communication is a note

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 341 of 1927.

Serial No.

there was no definite order on this subject and suggested that it would be well if the matter was investigated and settled. This proposal was approved.

Z

P. W.D

The Muzrai Commissioner has submitted a report on this subject. It has not been possible to trace definite authority in support of every honour or insignia claimed by the Mutt. The Heads of this Mutt do not appear to have toured much and the occasions for displaying the honours allowed to them were therefore few.

Y

The Agent of the Mutt has also stated that on the few minor tours undertaken by the Head of the Mutt, all the honours to which the latter was entitled, did not accompany him and that it is not therefore possible to settle the question of the honours and insignias to which he is entitled by reference to the few Ranadaris issued in the past in which only some of the honours that were actually taken, were mentioned. It seems not clear why this important question has remained unsettled for so long. It seems however desirable to authoritatively define the honours to which this Mutt is entitled.

There is no doubt about the standing of this institution among the Mutts in the State. It occupies a pre-eminent position among only

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 341 of 192 .

Serial No.

Reference his Price Current No 3146 dt 10 4 27 permitting the A.C. to investigate and the question of the Brandes etc. to which the Sale Matt, is entitled.

2 The A.C's letter goes fully into the facts of the case and the references regd. herein are flagged.

3 He recommends that with the exception of ಪಲ್ಲಕಿ & ಕೊಡೆ, all the other Brandes claimed on behalf of the Matt, may be conceded.

Submitted.

AV 15.6.27 Asst Member 21/6 15.6.27

Though the Sree Parakalaswami Mutt is an ancient institution, there is no order or authority specifying all the honours to which the Head of this Mutt is entitled. When the Swami of the Mutt proposed to visit some places in the Mysore District last year, the Agent of the Mutt asked for a Manakari specifying all the honours and insignias to which the Swami is entitled. The Kuzzai Commissioner reports that there

9/1

(Aug 2.27-26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 341 of 1927.

Serial No.

there was no definite order on this subject and suggested that it would be well if the matter was investigated and settled. This proposal was approved.

3

6

P. W.D

The Muzrai Commissioner has submitted his report on this subject. It has not been possible to trace definite authority in support of every honour or insignia claimed by the Mutt. The Heads of this Mutt do not appear to have toured much and the occasions for displaying the honours allowed to them were therefore few. The Agent of the Mutt has also stated that on the few minor tours undertaken by the Head of the Mutt, all the honours to which ~~he~~ the latter was entitled, did not accompany him and that it is not therefore possible to settle the question of the honours and insignias to which he is entitled by reference to ~~the~~ few Rahadaris issued in the past in which ~~one~~ only some of the honours that were actually taken, were mentioned. It ~~xxxx~~ is not clear why this important question has remained unsettled for so long. It seems however desirable to authoritatively define the honours to which this Mutt is entitled.

There is no doubt about the standin of this institution among the Mutts in the State. It occupies a pre-eminent position second only

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 341 of 1927.

Serial No.

to the Sringeri Mutt. The honours and paraphernalia claimed by it are as follows:-

Royal Honours.

1. Golden Pallaki with Pancha Kalasa, Pancha Gante, Panchamuka Vyali.
2. Karnataka Ivory Pallaki with Pancha Kalasa, with Vyali vathana
3. and gante.
4. Mena with Pancha kalasa.
5. Swetha Chatri with Pancha kalasa.
6. Surapane.
7. Makara Thorana.
8. Morcha.
9. Pancha Theevatigai.
10. Hagal -do.-
11. Afthagiri -Ganda-Berunda.
12. Dowala Sanka.
13. Nava-Buth.
14. Ambari with Pancha kalasa.

Special Honours.

1. Ordinary Chatri.
2. Silver chamaras.
3. Dunka on horse.
4. Chouri.
5. ~~Chinna nangali~~ Chimangale.
6. Nagari and Nishani on elephant.
7. Swetha chatri (big).

Other Honours.

1. Golden and silver sticks.
2. Silver ~~Gnota~~ Solas
3. Hanuma and Garuda flags.
4. Sanka and Chakra Thorana.
5. Sanka and Chakra flags.
6. Asmagiri.
7. Eighteen vadyas.
8. Drum on Bull

8 It would appear that in addition to the above there are honours specially accorded, according to ~~the~~ mamool, by the Palace to the Mutt. It is not necessary to consider these honours here.

9 The Muzrai Commissioner states that in view of the position of the Mutt, all the honours

P.W.D

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. Muz. File No. 341 of 1927.

Serial No.

honours referred to above may be allowed to it. If there is no objection to formally define all the honours to which this Mutt is entitled, an order specifying them may be issued.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
28. JUN. 1928
Reg. No. 8140

10 There is no big tour now contemplated by the Swami and it is therefore not quite necessary to pass an order on the lines proposed except as a guide for the future when the Head of the Mutt proposes to undertake a big tour requiring the use of all the honours and insignias to which he is entitled.

Dewan
27/6/28

11 I am inclined to agree. The H. Secy may be unofficially consulted — a formal submission being made to H.H. thereafter.

MJ
29-6-28

MONO 15 Muz

Dy 30-6-28.

12 Forwarded to the Huzur Secretary with compliments for favour of his opinion.

30/6/28

Submit to HHS after [illegible]
1/11
1/7

13 Returned with compliments. As stated in para 10 of the note of the First Member of Council, there is no necessity for formally defining the honours to which the Parakala Mutt is entitled. The Swami rarely undertakes a prolonged tour.

His wishes should be [illegible]
Hzr 12/7

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
16. JUL. 1928
Reg. No. 4044
Nos. Contd.

14 [illegible]
15 [illegible]
1.7.28

M D 0521—GPB—60,000—24 5 27

1576

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Secret File No. 341 of 1927

Serial No.

17 JULY 1928
No. 4024

16. In pursuance of Secretary of State's telegram No. [illegible] dated 17th July 1928 a reply may be sent to the G.G.'s telegram No. 977 dated 4th July 1928.

Draft Reply to the H.C. Submitted.

19 2-8-28

2. To Govt Cocanada No 203 dated 25-7-28 stating that for reasons mentioned there is no necessity to formally define the honorary insignias to which the said matt is entitled

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4 28

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER

*Bangalore.....10th..M..a..y.....192*8.

No. 1335 Confile 26-7

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

SECRETARIAT 19 MAY 1928 MUZRAI 1570.

FINANCIAL SEC 18 MAY 1928 No. 17289

Dmcr 384-27-1

To

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No.2140/M.F 270-26-6 dated 30th April 1927 intimating that the question of the Pirudus etc., to which the Sri Parakalaswami Matha is entitled may be investigated, I have the honor to submit herewith in original correspondence ending with letter C.C. No.558/26-27 dated 25th March 1928 received from the Honorary Superintendent of the Sri Parakalaswami Matha and to state as follows;

2. On receipt of your letter under reply the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore and the Honorary Superintendent of the Matha were requested to send copies of nirups and Rahadaris on which the claim of the matha was based. Copies of some of the documents were accordingly received. A statement in tabular form embodying the information contained in the nirups and a brief abstract of the several documents is ---- submitted for reference.

From a perusal of the documents it will be seen that the Rahadaris issued on

12th April 1838
5th June 1838
10th August 1844
7th October 1861
& 16th February 1864

do not in any way help us in the disposal of the question now under ---- consideration as in none of these mention is made of the Pirudus which used to accompany the Swamigalu in his tours.

The claim of His Holiness the Swamigalu for the use of the ---- insignias mentioned in the list furnished by the Honorary Superintend-

on 25th March 1928 has therefore to be determined on

(i) the references produced by the Matha

(ii) the Secretariat compilation of 1886-89.

3. From the tabular statement it will be seen that there is very wide disparity between the insignias mentioned in the Government Order of 18th March 1915 and the Honourable Superintendent's list of 25th March 19?

Of the additional insignias claimed, His Holiness the Swamigalu is no doubt entitled to

(1) ದಂಡ (2) ಛತ್ರ ಚಾಮರ (3) ದಂಡ ಮಂಟಪ ವಾದ್ಯಗಳು ಸಹಿತ
(4) ದಂಡ ಕಾಷಾಯ ಶಲ್ಯಕೆಗಳನ್ನು (5) ಪೀಲಾಂಜಲಿ (6) ಸಂಧ್ಯಾವಳಿಯಂದ ಆಶೀರ್ವಾದ.
(7) ಜಂಗಿ (8) ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಜೋಡಾಗಳು. (9) ಆನೆ ಕವಾಡಿ (10) ಪಂಜಾ ಮರಕಸ್ಸಲು.
(11) ದಂಡ ಕಾಷಾಯ ವಸನ (12) ಪವಮಾನಿಗಳು. (13) ಪೀಠ ಶಲ್ಯಕೆ ಛತ್ರಿಗಳು.

as the former five are mentioned as having been presented by Sri Chandra Vilasa Sannidhana to the then Swamigalu for use in pontificial succession and as the latter six are mentioned in the Guardian's Pass Port dated -- 25th March 1870.

4. Paring these, there remain

(2) ದಂಡ ಕಾಷಾಯ ದಂಡವಾಲಿ ದಂಡ ಛಂಟೆ ದಂಡ ಮಂಟಪ ವಾದ್ಯಗಳು ಸಹಿತ
(5) ದಂಡ ಕಾಷಾಯ ವಸನಗಳು ಛತ್ರಿಗಳು.
(Th.ಕ had been mentioned in the list furnished by the matha on 28-6-27 but it is omitted in the subsequent list dated 25-3-28)
(7) ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಪಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲ್ಕಿ ದಂಡಿಗೆ ಗಳು
(10) ಪವಮಾನ ಭದ್ರ ಪೀಠಗಳು.
(11) ಮಕರ ತೋರಣ
(13) ಶಿಷ್ಯ ಪೀಠ ಧ್ವಜಗಳು
(14) ಅನಿಷ್ಟ ನಿವಾರಣಾರ್ಥ ಮಳ್ಳಿ ಸಿಪ್ಪಾಡಿ ಗಳು
(16) ದಂಡ ಪಾದುಕೆ
(17) ಕಡಗ ಪಾದುಕೆ.
(21) ಅಷ್ಟ ದಳ ಪದ್ಮಗಳು
(23) ದಂಡ ಕಲಶ ಕಾಷಾಯ
(24) ಧ್ವಜ ಕಂಬ
(26) ತಿರುಚ್ಚಿ ತೊಂಬು ಚಿನ್ನಾಳಿ
(27) ಶಂಖ ಚಕ್ರ ತೋರಣ
(28) ಬನವಸಿ ಪಲ್ಲಿ ಪವಮಾನ

of which nos.7, 21 and 25 cannot be classed as

5. As the dakalas produced were not helpful to a determination of the question as to whether the Swamies of this matha are entitled to the use of the remaining Birudus - some of which are of extra-ordinary importance, the Honourable Superintendent was requested to explain as to why some of these insignias though mentioned in His Highness the late Maharaja's communications to the Swamigalu and in the Pass-Port granted

by the guardian to His Highness the Maharaja were omitted in the Government order of 18th March 1915 and why some others which did not find a place in any of the above documents were now claimed for the first time.

While inviting reference to the documents already produced and sending an extract from "ಶರಾಲ ವೈಭವ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಶಕ್ತಿ ಸ್ತೋತ್ರ". by Srinivasa yatmora and drawing attention to the contents of a book called ಪಂಚರತ್ನಾಕರ the Honourable Superintendent now states that these honors are in the enjoyment of the Matha from time immemorial, that from the records in the matha it is seen that on certain occasions when His Holiness went on tour a list containing only the important birudus and not the entire accompaniments used to be furnished to Government officers and that on certain other occasions His Holiness used to take only a few birudus at his pleasure and that from the above it cannot be concluded that the insignias mentioned in the list now furnished are not in the enjoyment of the matha.

6. There seems to be some force in the contention of the Hon'ble Superintendent in view of the fact that the insignias numbered 2, 10, 14, 15, 17, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27 and 28 are mentioned in the Secretariat compilation of honours. In the compilation only ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರಿ and not ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದಂಡಾಲಂಕಾರ and ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದಕಾಂಚಿದಂಡಾಲಂಕಾರ and not ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದ ದಂಡದಾಲಂಕಾರ are mentioned. When it is conceded that the Swamigalu is entitled to the use of ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದ ಚಿನ್ನದಾಲಂಕಾರ, ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದಮೀನ and ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದಶೃಂಗಿ etc., there can be no objection to permit the use of ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದಂಡಾಲಂಕಾರ and ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರದ ದಂಡದಾಲಂಕಾರ Similarly there is absolutely no reason as to why the use of the remaining two insignias, viz., (11) ಮುತ್ತಿನಕೊಡೆಕಾಂಚಿ and (12) ಪಂಚ ಚಕ್ರ ಧ್ವಜಸ್ತಂಭ which are after all not of supreme importance should not be conceded to the Swamigalu notwithstanding the fact that no evidence has been adduced proving previous enjoyment.

7. In view of the high position occupied by the Swamigalu of the Matha and the fact that he is also the Guru of His Highness the Maharaja and the Royal family I am of opinion that all the Parudis claimed by him may be given. A consolidation of the honours so far granted and the issue of a rahadari embodying them under the authority of Government will not only make the position of the matha clearer but such an

an authoritative pronouncement will act as a sure guide to the officers concerned on all future occasions.

8. I beg therefore to solicit the approval of Government to issue ~~of~~ a Rahadari mentioning all the Birudus claimed in suitable terms on behalf of the Matha with the ----- exception of [illegible] which has been omitted in the ----- revised list furnished by the Honorary Superintendent.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

I. G1]

ECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mysz

File No. 3411-27

Serial No. 2

No. 203. Revenue file

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Inam Comr

Date of issue 25/7/28

Signed by Mr. L.K. Somevara Iyer

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no 1335 dy 18th May 1928 Soliciting the approval of Govt to the issue of a Rahadari mentioning all the Biradars claimed on behalf of Sri Parakala Swamy mall at Mysore, I am directed to state that as the Swamy rarely undertakes a prolonged tour, and the as there is

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

now contemplated by the Army, I do not consider that there is now no necessity for formally defining the honors and insignias to which this Medal is entitled.

(2) The records are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Your obedient servant,
[illegible]
Secretary.